UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE Case No. 11-CV-0303-JAH (DHB) COMMISSION, FINAL JUDGMENT AS TO Plaintiff, **DEFENDANT SAKWINDER SINGH** NARWAL v. SAKWINDER SINGH NARWAL, JUSTIN BECK, BRIAN HILL, AND LARRY DOWNS Defendants.

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") having filed a Complaint and Defendant Sakwinder Singh Narwal ("Narwal") having entered a general appearance; consented to the Court's jurisdiction over Narwal and the subject matter of this action; consented to entry of this Final Judgment without admitting or denying the allegations of the Complaint (except as to jurisdiction); waived findings of fact and conclusions of law; and waived any right to appeal from this Final Judgment:

I.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Narwal and his agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a), in the offer or sale of any security by the use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails, directly or indirectly:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (b) to obtain money or property by means of any untrue statement of a material fact or any omission of a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- (c) to engage in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.

II.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Narwal and his agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating, directly or indirectly, Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5, by using any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of

any facility of any national securities exchange, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (b) to make any untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- (c) to engage in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person.

III.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Narwal is barred, for a period of ten years from the date of this Final Judgment, from participating in an offering of penny stock, including engaging in activities with a broker, dealer, or issuer for purposes of issuing, trading, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock. A penny stock is any equity security that has a price of less than five dollars, except as provided in Rule 3a51-1 under the Exchange Act, 17 C.F.R. 240.3a51-1.

IV.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Narwal is liable for disgorgement of \$512,060, representing profits gained as a result of the conduct alleged in the Complaint, together with prejudgment interest thereon in the amount of \$27,551, for a total of \$539,611.

Based on Narwal's sworn representations in his Statement of Financial Condition dated July 23, 2012, and other documents and information submitted to the Commission, however, the Court is not ordering Narwal to pay a civil penalty and payment of all but \$205,000 of the disgorgement and pre-judgment interest thereon is waived. Narwal shall satisfy this obligation by paying \$205,000 to the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to the terms of the payment schedule set forth in paragraph V below.

Narwal may transmit payment electronically to the Commission, which will provide detailed ACH transfer/Fedwire instructions upon request. Payment may also be made directly from a bank account via Pay.gov through the SEC website at

8 9

7

11 12

10

131415

1718

16

1920

2122

23

2425

2627

28

http://www.sec.gov/about/offices/ofm.htm. Narwal may also pay by certified check, bank cashier's check, or United States postal money order payable to the Securities and Exchange Commission, which shall be delivered or mailed to: Enterprise Services Center, Accounts Receivable Branch, 6500 South MacArthur Boulevard, Oklahoma City, OK 73169, and shall be accompanied by a letter identifying the case title, civil action number, and name of this Court; referencing Narwal's name as a defendant in this action; and specifying that payment is made pursuant to this Final Judgment.

Narwal shall simultaneously transmit photocopies of evidence of payment and case identifying information to the Commission's counsel in this action. By making this payment, Narwal relinquishes all legal and equitable right, title, and interest in such funds and no part of the funds shall be returned to Narwal. The Commission shall send the funds paid pursuant to this Final Judgment to the United States Treasury.

The determination not to impose a civil penalty and to waive payment of all but \$205,000 of the disgorgement and pre-judgment interest is contingent upon the accuracy and completeness of Narwal's Statement of Financial Condition. If at any time following the entry of this Final Judgment the Commission obtains information indicating that Narwal's representations to the Commission concerning his assets, income, liabilities, or net worth were fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate, or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such representations were made, the Commission may, at its sole discretion and without prior notice to Narwal, petition the Court for an order requiring Narwal to pay the unpaid portion of the disgorgement, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest thereon, and the maximum civil penalty allowable under the law. In connection with any such petition, the only issue shall be whether the financial information provided by Narwal was fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate, or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such representations were made. In its petition, the Commission may move this Court to consider all available remedies, including, but not limited to, ordering Narwal to pay funds or assets, directing the forfeiture of any assets, or sanctions for contempt of this Final Judgment. The Commission may also request additional discovery. Narwal may not, by way of defense to such petition: (1) challenge the validity of the Consent or this Final Judgment;

(2) contest the allegations in the Complaint filed by the Commission; (3) assert that payment of disgorgement, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest or a civil penalty should not be ordered; (4) contest the amount of disgorgement and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; (5) contest the imposition of the maximum civil penalty allowable under the law; or (6) assert any defense to liability or remedy, including, but not limited to, any statute of limitations defense. Narwal shall also pay post-judgment interest on any delinquent amounts pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961.

V.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Narwal shall pay the total of disgorgement due of \$205,000 in twenty-five installments to the Commission according to the following schedule:

- (1) Narwal shall pay \$40,000 within 30 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (2) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 60 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (3) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 90 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (4) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 120 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (5) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 150 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (6) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 180 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (7) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 210 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (8) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 240 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (9) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 270 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (10) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 300 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (11) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 330 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (12) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 360 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (13) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 390 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (14) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 420 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (15) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 450 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (16) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 480 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (17) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 510 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (18) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 540 days of entry of this Final Judgment;

- (19) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 570 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (20) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 600 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (21) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 630 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (22) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 660 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (23) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 690 days of entry of this Final Judgment;
- (24) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 720 days of entry of this Final Judgment; and
- (25) Narwal shall pay \$6,875 within 750 days of entry of this Final Judgment.

Upon notice to the staff of the Commission, Narwal may prepay some or all of the installments due pursuant to the payment schedule described above. If Narwal fails to make any payment by the date agreed and/or in the amount agreed according to the schedule set forth above, all outstanding payments under this Final Judgment, including post-judgment interest, minus any payments made, shall become due and payable immediately at the discretion of the staff of the Commission without further application to the Court.

VI.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the Consent of Defendant Sakwinder Singh Narwal is incorporated herein with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein, and that Narwal shall comply with all of the undertakings and agreements set forth therein.

VII.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Final Judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 7, 2013

OHN A. HOUSTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE